JUDGES GUIDANCE



MPA & British Precast Health and Safety Awards

Aggregates, Asphalt, Cement, Contracting, Dimension Stone, Lime, Precast Concrete, Mortar, Ready Mix, Recycling and Silica Sand

Judges will be asked to score entries based on the 5 key criteria shown on the entry form. The same criteria will be used for all 8 topics although the weighting applied may differ for some topics, e.g. more marks attributable to the process in the Safer Together Topic.

Judges will be requested to write a short report on each entry. This will be used as the basis of any feedback provided to the entrants if requested. Entrants will not be told what score their entry attained. The score sheet suggests the sort of questions a judge might consider when reviewing each section of the entry. The questions are for general guidance and are not assumed to be of equal importance. They are intended to be used as an "aide memoire".

It is unlikely that any entry will cover all these points. The size of the company will also impact on the relevance or applicability of some of

the points. The judge may also wish to consider other factors that are particularly relevant for an entry.

It is assumed that the judge will take these factors into consideration when determining the score for each section of the entry.

The scores will be used to create the shortlist for the site visits.



Judges Criteria	Maximum Marks
The right hand column indicates the maximum marks available for each criteria	
The questions below might be helpful in assessing your score or comparing entries	
1. Process - How well was the process managed?	30
How well does the entry reflect adherence to the H&S values in Vision Zero	
Extent of worker consultation and involvement	
Degree to which management showed demonstrable leadership	
Quality of comms used to support the change management process	
Quality of any training/materials used to support the initiative	
Quality of systems and processes e.g. new risk assessments, SSOW's, audits	
Presence and effectiveness of testing/trials/evidence used to support the initiative	
Extent of involvement/influence of other partners and stakeholders	
Does this entry reflect the MPA members' values	
Has information about this been shared with any external audience	
2. Benefits - What has been the impact of this initiative?	40
Extent to which there are clearly demonstrable benefits	
Extent to which this has mitigated a high potential hazard e.g. 'The Fatal 6'	
Extent to which it has significantly changed behaviour, improved health and safety culture	
Extent to which the health and wellbeing of employees/contractors/others improved	
Extent to which it has created a significantly safer working environment	
Extent to which it has improved the competences of employees/contractors	
Extent to which it has improved the efficiency of the operation	

- 1. Contact with moving machinery and isolation 2. Workplace transport and pedestrian interface 3. Work at height
- 4. Workplace Respirable Crystalline Silica 5. Struck by moving or falling object 6. Road Traffic Accidents

JUDGES GUIDANCE



3. Innovation - How innovative is this entry?	10
Does this reflect a new or innovative approach to resolving an H&S issue	
Does it reflect an enhancement or adaption of an existing concept / work practice	
Is this new for this company or site, demonstrating a willingness to improve / learn from others etc.	
4. Development and transferability	10
Are there any further development plans outlined in entry – within the site or company?	
Could this idea be applied within another company, the industry or other sectors?	
Has it already been adopted at other sites, companies etc.?	
Has it been shared with others or are there plans to do so?	
5. Judges Score	10
How do you rate this entry - any special factors	
How relevent is the entry to this topic	
How well are the claims in the entry supported	
Are there any special factors to also consider	
Total	100

SITE VISITS AND JUDGING

It is recommended that Judges should only visit entries likely to be either the winner or runner up in their topic. If the judge considers no entry is good enough to merit a major award, they may choose not to visit any.

In addition to identifying their proposed winner and runner up, they should also identify entries that are 'Highly Commended' or 'Commended'.

The selection of the winner and runner-up will be based on the judges views following the visit – not the scores, hopefully, there will be a close correlation with them.

MPA $\&\,$ BP will notify entrants who have been identified for a visit and ask the Judge to contact the site directly to make the appropriate arrangements.

SUPPORT FOR JUDGES

The judges will be able to request support from Tony Entwistle, MPA's Health and Safety Manager, on any technical issues. He will also be able to advise on broader issues in relation to MPA's & BP's current policies.

MPA PANEL

MPA & BP will set up a small panel that will decide winners of the John Crabbe and Sir Frank Davies awards. The panel will also review the judges' recommendations and act as a sounding board for any other issues raised by them.

The panel will also assess the individual awards.

It is not intended to use assesors from MPA & BP member companies. The MPA & BP panel will fulfull this role if requested to do so by a judge.

- 1. Contact with moving machinery and isolation 2. Workplace transport and pedestrian interface 3. Work at height
- 4. Workplace Respirable Crystalline Silica 5. Struck by moving or falling object 6. Road Traffic Accidents